# Wandering under Bishop's trees

Sébastien Godillon



#### Bishop's construction 1: Motivation and main results

Bishop's construction 2: Sketch of the proof by quasiconformal foldings

Existence and non-existence of wandering domains for entire functions

Examples of wandering domains in Eremenko-Lyubich's class

# Wandering under Bishop's trees

Bishop's construction 1: Motivation and main results

Sébastien Godillon

Let  $f:\mathbb{C}\to\mathbb{C}$  be a transcendental entire function with

- $\bullet\,$  exactly two critical values, say -1 and +1
- no finite asymptotic values

Question: What does f "look like" ??

 $T = f^{-1}([-1,+1])$  is an infinite bipartite tree.



 $T = f^{-1}([-1,+1])$  is an infinite bipartite tree.



 $\cosh: \mathbb{H}_r \to \mathbb{C} \setminus [-1, +1]$  is a universal cover.

 $T = f^{-1}([-1, +1])$  is an infinite bipartite tree.



 $\forall \Omega \text{ c.c. of } \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{T}, \ \tau_{|\Omega} = (\cosh^{-1} \circ f_{|\Omega}) : \Omega \to \mathbb{H}_r \text{ is conformal.}$ 

Conversely: How to construct f from  $(T, \tau)$ ?

Conversely: How to construct f from  $(T, \tau)$ ?

More precisely, given

- $\bullet$  an infinite bipartite tree  $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathbb{C}$  with "smooth" enough geometry
- a map  $\tau$  such that  $\tau_{|\Omega} : \Omega \to \mathbb{H}_r$  is conformal,  $\forall \Omega$  c.c. of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{T}$

does there exist an entire function  $f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$  such that  $f = \cosh \circ \tau$  ?

Conversely: How to construct f from  $(T, \tau)$ ?

More precisely, given

- $\bullet$  an infinite bipartite tree  $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathbb{C}$  with "smooth" enough geometry
- a map  $\tau$  such that  $\tau_{|\Omega} : \Omega \to \mathbb{H}_r$  is conformal,  $\forall \Omega$  c.c. of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{T}$

does there exist an entire function  $f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$  such that  $f = \cosh \circ \tau$  ?

Main problem:  $\cosh \circ \tau$  is not continuous across T in general.

More precisely, replace  $(T, \tau)$  by  $(T', \eta)$  such that

- $T \subset T' \subset T(r_0)$
- $\eta = \tau$  off  $T(r_0)$
- $\eta_{|\Omega'}: \Omega' \to \mathbb{H}_r$  is *K*-quasiconformal,  $\forall \Omega'$  c.c. of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus T'$
- $\cosh \circ \eta$  continuously extends across T'

More precisely, replace  $(T, \tau)$  by  $(T', \eta)$  such that

- $T \subset T' \subset T(r_0)$
- $\eta = \tau$  off  $T(r_0)$
- $\eta_{|\Omega'}: \Omega' \to \mathbb{H}_r$  is *K*-quasiconformal,  $\forall \Omega'$  c.c. of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus T'$
- $\cosh \circ \eta$  continuously extends across T'

Then apply Morrey-Ahlfors-Bers measurable Riemann mapping theorem:

 $\exists \text{ an entire function } f \text{ and a quasiconformal map } \phi \text{ such that} \\ f \circ \phi = \cosh \circ \tau \text{ off } \mathcal{T}(r_0)$ 











More precisely, replace  $(T, \tau)$  by  $(T', \eta)$  such that

- $T \subset T' \subset T(r_0)$
- $\eta = \tau$  off  $T(r_0)$
- $\eta_{|\Omega'}: \Omega' \to \mathbb{H}_r$  is *K*-quasiconformal,  $\forall \Omega'$  c.c. of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus T'$
- $\cosh \circ \eta$  continuously extends across T'

Then apply measurable Riemann mapping theorem:

 $\exists \text{ an entire function } f \text{ and a quasiconformal map } \phi \text{ such that} \\ f \circ \phi = \cosh \circ \tau \text{ off } \mathcal{T}(r_0)$ 

# The neighborhood of T

 $\forall r > 0$ , define an open neighborhood of T as follows

$$T(r) = \bigcup_{e \text{ edge of } T} \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} \ / \ \operatorname{dist}(z, e) < r \operatorname{diam}(e) 
ight\}$$



#### Lemma 0

- If T has bounded geometry, namely  $\exists M > 0$  such that
  - edges of T are  $C^2$  with uniform bounds

angles between adjacent edges are uniformly bounded away from 0

•  $\forall e, f \text{ non-adjacent edges, } \frac{\operatorname{diam}(e)}{\operatorname{dist}(e, f)} \leq M$ then  $\exists r_0 > 0$  such that

 $\begin{array}{l} \forall \Omega \text{ c.c. of } \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathcal{T}, \ \forall \text{ square } Q \subset \mathbb{H}_r \text{ that has a } \tau_{|\Omega} \text{-edge as one side,} \\ Q \subset \tau_{|\Omega} \Big( \mathcal{T}(r_0) \cap \Omega \Big) \end{array}$ 

### Lemma 0

If T has bounded geometry, then  $\exists r_0 > 0$  such that

 $\begin{array}{l} \forall \Omega \text{ c.c. of } \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathcal{T}, \ \forall \text{ square } \mathcal{Q} \subset \mathbb{H}_r \text{ that has a } \tau_{|\Omega} \text{-edge as one side,} \\ \mathcal{Q} \subset \tau_{|\Omega} \Big( \mathcal{T}(r_0) \cap \Omega \Big) \end{array}$ 



### Theorem 1 (Bishop 2012)

If (  $T, \tau$  ) satisfies the following conditions

- T has bounded geometry
- $\textbf{@ every edge has } \tau \text{-size} \geqslant \pi$

then  $\exists$  an entire function f and a quasiconformal map  $\phi$  such that

$$f \circ \phi = \cosh \circ \tau$$
 off  $T(r_0)$ 

#### Moreover

- f has exactly two critical values, -1 and +1
- f has no finite asymptotic values

• 
$$\phi(T) \subset f^{-1}([-1,+1]) \quad (=\phi(T'))$$

•  $\forall c \text{ critical point of } f, \deg_{\text{loc}}(c, f) = \deg(c, \phi(T'))$ 



Generalization: Can we construct f with

- $\bullet\,$  more critical values than only -1 and +1 ?
- some finite asymptotic values ?
- arbitrary high degree critical points ?

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{The c.c. of } \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{T} \text{ are sorted in three different types:} \\ \text{R-components:} & \tau_{\mid \Omega} : \Omega \to \mathbb{H}_r \text{ conformally} \\ \text{D-components:} & \tau_{\mid \Omega} : \Omega \to \mathbb{D} \text{ conformally} \\ \text{L-components:} & \tau_{\mid \Omega} : \Omega \to \mathbb{H}_\ell \text{ conformally} \end{array}$ 

The c.c. of $\mathbb{C} \setminus T$	are sorted in three different types:
R-components:	$ au_{ \Omega}:\Omega ightarrow\mathbb{H}_r$ conformally
D-components:	$ au_{ert \Omega}: \Omega  o \mathbb{D}$ conformally
L-components:	$ au_{ \Omega}:\Omega ightarrow\mathbb{H}_\ell$ conformally

R	Ω	$\xrightarrow{\tau_{\mid\Omega}}$	$\mathbb{H}_r$	
D	Ω	$\xrightarrow{\tau_{\mid\Omega}}$	$\mathbb{D}$	
L	Ω	$\xrightarrow{\tau_{\mid\Omega}}$	$\mathbb{H}_\ell$	

The c.c. of $\mathbb{C} \setminus T$	are sorted in three different types:
R-components:	$ au_{ \Omega}:\Omega ightarrow\mathbb{H}_r$ conformally
D-components:	$ au_{ \Omega}:\Omega ightarrow\mathbb{D}$ conformally
L-components:	$ au_{ \Omega}:\Omega ightarrow\mathbb{H}_\ell$ conformally

R	Ω	$\xrightarrow{\tau_{\mid\Omega}}$	$\mathbb{H}_r$	$\xrightarrow{cosh}$	$\mathbb{C} \setminus [-1,+1]$	
D	Ω	$\xrightarrow{\tau_{\mid\Omega}}$	$\mathbb{D}$			
L	Ω	$\xrightarrow{\tau_{\mid\Omega}}$	$\mathbb{H}_\ell$			

The c.c. of $\mathbb{C} \setminus T$	are sorted in three different types:
R-components:	$ au_{ \Omega}:\Omega ightarrow\mathbb{H}_r$ conformally
D-components:	$ au_{ \Omega}:\Omega ightarrow\mathbb{D}$ conformally
L-components:	$ au_{\mid \Omega}:\Omega  o \mathbb{H}_\ell$ conformally

R	Ω	$\xrightarrow{\tau_{\mid\Omega}}$	$\mathbb{H}_r$	$\xrightarrow{cosh}$	$\mathbb{C} \setminus [-1, +1]$	
D	$(\Omega,\star)$	$\xrightarrow{\tau_{\mid\Omega}}$	$(\mathbb{D},0)$			
L	Ω	$\xrightarrow{\tau_{\mid\Omega}}$	$\mathbb{H}_\ell$			

The c.c. of $\mathbb{C} \setminus T$	are sorted in three different types:
R-components:	$ au_{ \Omega}:\Omega ightarrow\mathbb{H}_r$ conformally
D-components:	$ au_{ \Omega}:\Omega ightarrow\mathbb{D}$ conformally
L-components:	$ au_{\mid \Omega}:\Omega  o \mathbb{H}_\ell$ conformally

R	Ω	$\xrightarrow{\tau_{\mid\Omega}}$	$\mathbb{H}_r$	$\xrightarrow{cosh}$	$\mathbb{C} \setminus [-1, +1]$
D	$(\Omega,\star)$	$\xrightarrow{\tau_{\mid\Omega}}$	$(\mathbb{D},0)$	$\xrightarrow{z\mapsto z^{d_{\Omega}}}$	$(\mathbb{D},0)$
L	Ω	$\xrightarrow{\tau_{\mid\Omega}}$	$\mathbb{H}_{\ell}$		

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{The c.c. of } \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{T} \text{ are sorted in three different types:} \\ \text{R-components:} & \tau_{\mid \Omega} : \Omega \to \mathbb{H}_r \text{ conformally} \\ \text{D-components:} & \tau_{\mid \Omega} : \Omega \to \mathbb{D} \text{ conformally} \\ \text{L-components:} & \tau_{\mid \Omega} : \Omega \to \mathbb{H}_\ell \text{ conformally} \end{array}$ 

R	Ω	$\xrightarrow{\tau_{\mid\Omega}}$	Ⅲr	$\xrightarrow{cosh}$	$\mathbb{C} \setminus [-1,+1]$
D	$(\Omega,\star)$	$\xrightarrow{\tau_{\mid\Omega}}$	$(\mathbb{D},0)$	$\xrightarrow{z\mapsto z^{d_{\Omega}}}$	$(\mathbb{D},0)$
L	$(\Omega,\infty)$	$\xrightarrow{\tau_{\mid\Omega}}$	$(\mathbb{H}_\ell,-\infty)$		

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{The c.c. of } \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{T} \text{ are sorted in three different types:} \\ \text{R-components:} & \tau_{\mid \Omega} : \Omega \to \mathbb{H}_r \text{ conformally} \\ \text{D-components:} & \tau_{\mid \Omega} : \Omega \to \mathbb{D} \text{ conformally} \\ \text{L-components:} & \tau_{\mid \Omega} : \Omega \to \mathbb{H}_\ell \text{ conformally} \end{array}$ 

R	Ω	$\xrightarrow{\tau_{\mid\Omega}}$	$\mathbb{H}_r$	$\xrightarrow{cosh}$	$\mathbb{C} \setminus [-1,+1]$
D	$(\Omega,\star)$	$\xrightarrow{\tau_{\mid\Omega}}$	$(\mathbb{D},0)$	$\xrightarrow{z\mapsto z^{d_{\Omega}}}$	$(\mathbb{D},0)$
L	$(\Omega,\infty)$	$\xrightarrow{\tau_{\mid\Omega}}$	$(\mathbb{H}_\ell,-\infty)$	$\xrightarrow{exp}$	(D,0)

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{The c.c. of } \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{T} \text{ are sorted in three different types:} \\ \text{R-components:} & \tau_{\mid \Omega} : \Omega \to \mathbb{H}_r \text{ conformally} \\ \text{D-components:} & \tau_{\mid \Omega} : \Omega \to \mathbb{D} \text{ conformally} \\ \text{L-components:} & \tau_{\mid \Omega} : \Omega \to \mathbb{H}_\ell \text{ conformally} \end{array}$ 

More precisely:

R	Ω	$\xrightarrow{\tau_{\mid\Omega}}$	$\mathbb{H}_r$	$\xrightarrow{cosh}$	$\mathbb{C} \setminus [-1]$	, +1]	
D	$(\Omega,\star)$	$\xrightarrow{\tau_{\mid\Omega}}$	$(\mathbb{D},0)$	$\xrightarrow{z\mapsto z^{d_{\Omega}}}$	$(\mathbb{D},0)$	$\xrightarrow{\rho_\Omega}$	$(\mathbb{D}, w_{\Omega})$
L	$(\Omega,\infty)$	$\xrightarrow{\tau_{\mid\Omega}}$	$(\mathbb{H}_\ell,-\infty)$	$\xrightarrow{exp}$	$(\mathbb{D},0)$	$\xrightarrow{\rho_\Omega}$	$(\mathbb{D}, v_{\Omega})$

where  $\rho_{\Omega} : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$  is quasiconformal with  $\rho_{\Omega}(z) = z, \forall z \in \partial \mathbb{D}$ .

## Theorem 2 (Bishop 2012)

If  $(T, \tau)$  satisfies the following conditions

- T has bounded geometry
- **2** on R-components, every edge has  $\tau$ -size  $\geqslant \pi$
- **O**,L-components only share edges with R-components

then  $\forall \ (d_{\Omega} \ge 2, w_{\Omega} \in \frac{3}{4}\mathbb{D})_{\Omega \in \{\text{D-components}\}} \text{ and } (v_{\Omega} \in \frac{3}{4}\mathbb{D})_{\Omega \in \{\text{L-components}\}}, \exists \text{ an entire function } f \text{ and a quasiconformal map } \phi \text{ such that}$ 

$$f \circ \phi = \sigma \circ \tau$$
 off  $T(r_0)$  with  $\sigma(z) = \begin{cases} \cosh(z) & \text{on R-components} \\ \rho_{\Omega}(z^{d_{\Omega}}) & \text{on D-components} \\ \rho_{\Omega}(\exp(z)) & \text{on L-components} \end{cases}$ 

Moreover

- quasiconformal foldings only occur in R-components
- the only critical values of f are  $\pm 1$  and  $(w_{\Omega})_{\Omega \in \{D-components\}}$
- the only asymptotic values of f are  $(v_{\Omega})_{\Omega \in \{L-components\}}$
- $\forall$  D-component  $\Omega$ ,  $\exists c \in \phi(\Omega)$  crit. point of f with  $\deg_{\mathrm{loc}}(c, f) = d_{\Omega}$




### Corollary (Bishop 2012)

Let  $E, F \subset \mathbb{C}$  be two bounded countable sets with  $card(E) \ge 2$ . Then  $\exists$  an entire function f such that

- E is the set of critical values of f
- F is the set of asymptotic values of f

## Wandering under Bishop's trees

Bishop's construction 2: Sketch of the proof by quasiconformal foldings

Sébastien Godillon



### Theorem 1 (Bishop 2012)

If (  $T, \tau$  ) satisfies the following conditions

- T has bounded geometry
- $\textbf{@ every edge has } \tau \text{-size} \geqslant \pi$

then  $\exists$  an entire function f and a quasiconformal map  $\phi$  such that

$$f \circ \phi = \cosh \circ \tau$$
 off  $T(r_0)$ 

#### Moreover

- f has exactly two critical values, -1 and +1
- f has no finite asymptotic values

• 
$$\phi(T) \subset f^{-1}([-1,+1]) \quad (=\phi(T'))$$

•  $\forall c \text{ critical point of } f, \deg_{\text{loc}}(c, f) = \deg(c, \phi(T'))$ 



Idea of the proof: Construct  $(T', \eta)$  such that

- $T \subset T' \subset T(r_0)$
- $\eta = \tau$  off  $T(r_0)$
- $\eta_{|\Omega'}: \Omega' \to \mathbb{H}_r$  is *K*-quasiconformal,  $\forall \Omega'$  c.c. of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus T'$
- $\cosh\circ\eta$  continuously extends across T'

Idea of the proof: Construct  $(T', \eta)$  such that

- $T \subset T' \subset T(r_0)$
- $\eta = \tau$  off  $T(r_0)$
- $\eta_{|\Omega'}: \Omega' \to \mathbb{H}_r$  is *K*-quasiconformal,  $\forall \Omega' \text{ c.c. of } \mathbb{C} \setminus T'$
- $\cosh \circ \eta$  continuously extends across T'

Main problem: the behavior of cosh on the two  $\tau$ -edges of e,  $\forall$  egde e.

Idea of the proof: Construct  $(T', \eta)$  such that

- $T \subset T' \subset T(r_0)$
- $\eta = \tau$  off  $T(r_0)$
- $\eta_{|\Omega'}: \Omega' \to \mathbb{H}_r$  is *K*-quasiconformal,  $\forall \Omega' \text{ c.c. of } \mathbb{C} \setminus T'$
- $\cosh \circ \eta$  continuously extends across T'

Main problem: the behavior of cosh on the two  $\tau$ -edges of e,  $\forall$  egde e.

More precisely, 
$$orall n \in \mathbb{Z}, \ {
m cosh}: i\pi[n,n+1] \stackrel{
m homeo}{\longrightarrow} [-1,+1]$$
 but

- **(**) the two  $\tau$ -edges of e are not of the form  $i\pi[n, n+1]$  in general
- 2 the two  $\tau$ -edges of e have different size in general (but  $\geq \pi$ )

Particular case:  $\forall$  edge *e*, the two  $\tau$ -edges of *e* have same size  $\geq \pi$ .

#### Lemma 1

 $\begin{aligned} \exists K \geqslant 1 \text{ such that} \\ \forall \Omega \text{ c.c. of } \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{T}, \ \exists \text{ a map } (\lambda_{\Omega} \circ \imath_{\Omega}) : \tau_{|\Omega}(\Omega) = \mathbb{H}_r \to \mathbb{H}_r \text{ such that} \\ (\text{i}) \ (\lambda_{\Omega} \circ \imath_{\Omega}) = \text{Id off } \tau_{|\Omega} \Big( \mathcal{T}(r_0) \cap \Omega \Big) \\ (\text{ii}) \ (\lambda_{\Omega} \circ \imath_{\Omega}) : \tau_{|\Omega}(\Omega) = \mathbb{H}_r \to \mathbb{H}_r \text{ is } K \text{-quasiconformal} \\ (\text{iii}) \ \forall \text{ edge } e \subset \partial \Omega_1 \cap \partial \Omega_2, \ (\lambda_{\Omega_j} \circ \imath_{\Omega_j}) \circ \tau_{|\Omega_j} \text{ continuously extends to } e \text{ with} \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Big( (\lambda_{\Omega_j} \circ \imath_{\Omega_j}) \circ \tau_{|\Omega_j} \Big)(e) = i\pi[n_j, n_j + (2k+1)] \\ (\lambda_{\Omega_1} \circ \imath_{\Omega_1}) \circ \tau_{|\Omega_1} - (\lambda_{\Omega_2} \circ \imath_{\Omega_2}) \circ \tau_{|\Omega_2} = i\pi(n_1 - n_2) \in i\pi 2\mathbb{Z} \end{array} \right. \end{aligned}$ 

# $\begin{cases} \imath_{\Omega} : \mathbb{H}_r \to \mathbb{H}_r \text{ moves the vertices into } i\pi\mathbb{Z} \\ \lambda_{\Omega} : \mathbb{H}_r \to \mathbb{H}_r \text{ fixes } i\pi\mathbb{Z} \text{ and makes the continuity across } T \end{cases}$



 $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \imath_{\Omega}:\mathbb{H}_{r}\to\mathbb{H}_{r} \text{ moves the vertices into } i\pi\mathbb{Z} \\ \lambda_{\Omega}:\mathbb{H}_{r}\to\mathbb{H}_{r} \text{ fixes } i\pi\mathbb{Z} \text{ and makes the continuity across } T \end{array}\right.$ 



 $\cosh(i\pi\mathbb{Z}) = \{-1, +1\}$  leads to extra vertices.

Particular case:  $\forall$  edge *e*, the two  $\tau$ -edges of *e* have same size  $\geq \pi$ .

Using Lemma 1, define

$$\begin{cases} \eta_{|\Omega} = (\lambda_{\Omega} \circ \imath_{\Omega}) \circ \tau_{|\Omega}, \ \forall \Omega \text{ c.c. of } \mathbb{C} \setminus T \\ T' = T \text{ with extra vertices coming from } \eta^{-1}(i\pi\mathbb{Z}) \end{cases}$$

then

$$\begin{array}{lll} (i) & \Longrightarrow & \eta = \tau \text{ off } T(r_0) \\ (ii) & \Longrightarrow & \eta_{|\Omega'} : \Omega' \to \mathbb{H}_r \text{ is } K \text{-quasiconformal, } \forall \Omega' \text{ c.c. of } \mathbb{C} \setminus T' \\ (iii) & \Longrightarrow & \cosh \circ \eta \text{ continuously extends across } T' \end{array}$$

General case: by proceeding as for the particular case, we may assume that

 $\forall \text{ edge } e \subset \partial \Omega_1 \cap \partial \Omega_2, \ \tau_{|\Omega_j} \text{ continuously extends to } e \text{ with } \\ \tau_{|\Omega_i}(e) = i\pi[n_j, n_j + (2k_j + 1)] \text{ with } n_j \in \mathbb{Z}, k_j \in \mathbb{N}$ 

General case: by proceeding as for the particular case, we may assume that

 $\forall \text{ edge } e \subset \partial \Omega_1 \cap \partial \Omega_2, \ \tau_{|\Omega_j} \text{ continuously extends to } e \text{ with } \\ \tau_{|\Omega_j}(e) = i\pi[n_j, n_j + (2k_j + 1)] \text{ with } n_j \in \mathbb{Z}, k_j \in \mathbb{N}$ 

### Lemma 2 (quasiconformal folding)

 $\exists K \ge 1$  such that  $\forall \Omega \text{ c.c. of } \mathbb{C} \setminus T, \exists a \text{ map } \psi_{\Omega} : W_{\Omega} \subset \mathbb{H}_r \to \mathbb{H}_r \text{ such that}$ (o)  $\partial W_{\Omega}$  is a smooth tree with  $\partial \mathbb{H}_r \subset \partial W_{\Omega} \subset \tau_{|\Omega} (T(r_0) \cap \Omega)$ (i)  $\psi_{\Omega} = \text{Id off } \tau_{|\Omega} \Big( T(r_0) \cap \Omega \Big)$ (ii)  $\psi_{\Omega} : W_{\Omega} \subset \mathbb{H}_r \to \mathbb{H}_r$  is K-quasiconformal (iii)  $\forall \text{ edge } e \subset \partial W_{\Omega_1} \cap \partial W_{\Omega_2}, \psi_{\Omega_i} \circ \tau_{|\Omega_i} \text{ continuously extends to } e \text{ with}$  $\begin{cases} \left(\psi_{\Omega_j} \circ \tau_{|\Omega_j}\right)(e) = i\pi[m_j, m_j + 1] & \text{with } m_j \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \psi_{\Omega_1} \circ \tau_{|\Omega_1} - \psi_{\Omega_2} \circ \tau_{|\Omega_2} = i\pi(m_1 - m_2) \in i\pi 2\mathbb{Z} & \text{on } e \end{cases}$ 

 $\psi_{\Omega}: W_{\Omega} \to \mathbb{H}_r$  maps every  $\tau$ -edge onto a segment in  $\partial \mathbb{H}_r$  of length  $\pi$ 



 $\begin{cases} \psi \text{ maps the left side to an edge of length } \pi \\ \psi \text{ acts as identity on the right side} \end{cases}$ 



 $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \psi \text{ maps the left side to an edge of length } \pi \\ \psi \text{ acts as identity on the right side} \end{array} \right.$ 

Solution: Add some extra edges and "unfold".



 $\begin{cases} \psi \text{ maps the left side to an edge of length } \pi \\ \psi \text{ acts as identity on the right side} \end{cases}$ 

Solution: Add some extra edges and "unfold".



 $\psi^{-1}$  is called a quasiconformal folding.

 $\begin{cases} \psi \text{ maps the left side to an edge of length } \pi \\ \psi \text{ acts as identity on the right side} \end{cases}$ 



 $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \psi \text{ maps the left side to an edge of length } \pi \\ \psi \text{ acts as identity on the right side} \end{array} \right.$ 

Solution: Add some extra edges and "unfold".



 $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \psi \text{ maps the left side to an edge of length } \pi \\ \psi \text{ acts as identity on the right side} \end{array} \right.$ 

Solution: Add some extra edges and "unfold".



 $\psi^{-1}$  is called a quasiconformal folding.

 $\begin{cases} \psi \text{ maps the left side to an edge of length } \pi \\ \psi \text{ acts as identity on the right side} \end{cases}$ 



 $\begin{cases} \psi \text{ maps the left side to an edge of length } \pi \\ \psi \text{ acts as identity on the right side} \end{cases}$ 

Solution: Add some extra edges and "unfold".



 $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \psi \text{ maps the left side to an edge of length } \pi \\ \psi \text{ acts as identity on the right side} \end{array} \right.$ 

Solution: Add some extra edges and "unfold".



 $\psi^{-1}$  is called a quasiconformal folding.





### BUT

## the dilatation of $\psi$ should be uniformly bounded independently of the square size.













 $\psi_{\Omega}: W_{\Omega} \to \mathbb{H}_r$  maps every  $\tau$ -edge onto a segment in  $\partial \mathbb{H}_r$  of length  $\pi$ 



 $\psi_{\Omega}: W_{\Omega} \to \mathbb{H}_r$  maps every au-edge onto a segment in  $\partial \mathbb{H}_r$  of length  $\pi$ 



 $\tau_{|\Omega}^{-1}(\partial W_{\Omega})$  leads to extra vertices and edges.

General case: by proceeding as for the particular case, we may assume that

 $\forall \text{ edge } e \subset \partial \Omega_1 \cap \partial \Omega_2, \ \tau_{|\Omega_j} \text{ continuously extends to } e \text{ with } \\ \tau_{|\Omega_j}(e) = i\pi[n_j, n_j + (2k_j + 1)] \text{ with } n_j \in \mathbb{Z}, k_j \in \mathbb{N}$ 

### Lemma 2 (quasiconformal folding)

 $\exists K \ge 1$  such that  $\forall \Omega \text{ c.c. of } \mathbb{C} \setminus T, \exists a \text{ map } \psi_{\Omega} : W_{\Omega} \subset \mathbb{H}_r \to \mathbb{H}_r \text{ such that}$ (o)  $\partial W_{\Omega}$  is a smooth tree with  $\partial \mathbb{H}_r \subset \partial W_{\Omega} \subset \tau_{|\Omega} (T(r_0) \cap \Omega)$ (i)  $\psi_{\Omega} = \text{Id off } \tau_{|\Omega} \Big( T(r_0) \cap \Omega \Big)$ (ii)  $\psi_{\Omega} : W_{\Omega} \subset \mathbb{H}_r \to \mathbb{H}_r$  is K-quasiconformal (iii)  $\forall \text{ edge } e \subset \partial W_{\Omega_1} \cap \partial W_{\Omega_2}, \psi_{\Omega_i} \circ \tau_{|\Omega_i} \text{ continuously extends to } e \text{ with}$  $\begin{cases} \left(\psi_{\Omega_j} \circ \tau_{|\Omega_j}\right)(e) = i\pi[m_j, m_j + 1] & \text{with } m_j \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \psi_{\Omega_1} \circ \tau_{|\Omega_1} - \psi_{\Omega_2} \circ \tau_{|\Omega_2} = i\pi(m_1 - m_2) \in i\pi 2\mathbb{Z} & \text{on } e \end{cases}$ 

General case: by proceeding as for the particular case, we may assume that

$$\forall \text{ edge } e \subset \partial \Omega_1 \cap \partial \Omega_2, \ \tau_{|\Omega_j} \text{ continuously extends to } e \text{ with } \\ \tau_{|\Omega_j}(e) = i\pi[n_j, n_j + (2k_j + 1)] \text{ with } n_j \in \mathbb{Z}, k_j \in \mathbb{N}$$

Using Lemma 2, define

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \eta_{\mid\Omega} = \psi_{\Omega} \circ \tau_{\mid\Omega}, \ \forall \Omega \text{ c.c. of } \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{T} \\ \mathcal{T}' = \mathcal{T} \text{ with extra vertices and edges coming from } \eta^{-1}(\partial \mathbb{H}_r) \end{array} \right.$$

then

$$\begin{array}{lll} (i) & \implies & \eta = \tau \text{ off } T(r_0) \\ (ii) & \implies & \eta_{|\Omega'} : \Omega' \to \mathbb{H}_r \text{ is } K \text{-quasiconformal, } \forall \Omega' \text{ c.c. of } \mathbb{C} \setminus T' \\ iii) & \implies & \cosh \circ \eta \text{ continuously extends across } T' \end{array}$$





Tak for din opmærksomhed !
# Wandering under Bishop's trees

Existence and non-existence of wandering domains for entire functions

Sébastien Godillon

Let f be a rational map or a transcendental entire function. A Fatou domain U of f is said to be wandering if

 $\forall n \neq m, \quad f^n(U) \cap f^m(U) = \emptyset$ 

Let f be a rational map or a transcendental entire function. A Fatou domain U of f is said to be wandering if

$$\forall n \neq m, \quad f^n(U) \cap f^m(U) = \emptyset$$

### Sullivan, 1985

If f is a rational map then f has no wandering domains.

Main tools: quasiconformal deformations

Let f be a rational map or a transcendental entire function. A Fatou domain U of f is said to be wandering if

$$\forall n \neq m, \quad f^n(U) \cap f^m(U) = \emptyset$$

### Sullivan, 1985

If f is a rational map then f has no wandering domains.

### Main tools: quasiconformal deformations

## Singular set

Let  $f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$  be a transcendental entire function. Denote by  $S(f) = \overline{\operatorname{Crit}(f) \cup \operatorname{Asym}(f)}$  the set of finite singular values.

Let f be a rational map or a transcendental entire function. A Fatou domain U of f is said to be wandering if

$$\forall n \neq m, \quad f^n(U) \cap f^m(U) = \emptyset$$

### Sullivan, 1985

If f is a rational map then f has no wandering domains.

Main tools: quasiconformal deformations

## Singular set

Let  $f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$  be a transcendental entire function. Denote by  $S(f) = \overline{\operatorname{Crit}(f) \cup \operatorname{Asym}(f)}$  the set of finite singular values.

## Eremenko-Lyubich, Goldberg-Keen, 1986

If  $|\mathcal{S}(f)| < +\infty$  then f has no wandering domains.

## Main tools: quasiconformal deformations

### Baker, 1975

## If U is a multiply connected Fatou domain of f then U is wandering.

## Baker, 1976

$$g(z) = rac{1}{4e} z^2 \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + rac{z}{\gamma_n}
ight)$$
 for suitable  $\gamma_n > 1$ 

has (multiply connected and hence) wandering domains.

## Baker, 1976

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{4e} z^2 \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 + \frac{z}{\gamma_n} \right)$$
 for suitable  $\gamma_n > 1$ 

has (multiply connected) wandering domains.

### Herman, 1981

$$\begin{cases} f_1(z) = z - 1 + e^{-z} + 2\pi i \\ f_2(z) = z + \frac{e^{2\pi i \alpha} - 1}{2\pi} \sin(2\pi z) + 1 & \text{for suitable } \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \end{cases}$$

both have (simply connected) wandering domains.

## Baker, 1976

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{4e} z^2 \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 + \frac{z}{\gamma_n} \right)$$
 for suitable  $\gamma_n > 1$ 

has (multiply connected) wandering domains.

### Herman, 1981

$$\begin{cases} f_1(z) = z - 1 + e^{-z} + 2\pi i \\ f_2(z) = z + \frac{e^{2\pi i \alpha} - 1}{2\pi} \sin(2\pi z) + 1 & \text{for suitable } \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \end{cases}$$

both have (simply connected) wandering domains.

## (Devaney et al., 1989) ??

$$f_3(z)=z+2\pi\sin(z)$$

has wandering domains.

#### Fatou, 1920

If U is wandering then every limit function of  $\{f^n|_U\}_{n \ge 1}$  is constant. In particular, U is either:

escaping:  $\forall (n_k), \qquad f^{n_k}|_U \xrightarrow[k \to +\infty]{} \infty$ oscillating:  $\exists (n_k, m_k), \qquad f^{n_k}|_U \xrightarrow[k \to +\infty]{} \infty$  and  $f^{m_k}|_U \xrightarrow[k \to +\infty]{} a \in \mathcal{J}(f)$ bounded:  $\forall (n_k), \qquad f^{n_k}|_U \xrightarrow[k \to +\infty]{} \infty$ 

#### Fatou, 1920

If U is wandering then every limit function of  $\{f^n|_U\}_{n \ge 1}$  is constant. In particular, U is either:

escaping:  $\forall (n_k), \qquad f^{n_k}|_U \xrightarrow[k \to +\infty]{k \to +\infty} \infty$ oscillating:  $\exists (n_k, m_k), \qquad f^{n_k}|_U \xrightarrow[k \to +\infty]{k \to +\infty} \infty$  and  $f^{m_k}|_U \xrightarrow[k \to +\infty]{k \to +\infty} a \in \mathcal{J}(f)$ bounded:  $\forall (n_k), \qquad f^{n_k}|_U \xrightarrow[k \to +\infty]{k \to +\infty} \infty$ 

## Baker, 1976

If  $f^{n_k}|_U \xrightarrow[k \to +\infty]{} a$  then  $a \in \overline{E} \cup \{\infty\}$  where  $E = \bigcup_{s \in \mathcal{S}(f)} \bigcup_{n \ge 1} f^n(s)$ .

#### Fatou, 1920

If U is wandering then every limit function of  $\{f^n|_U\}_{n \ge 1}$  is constant. In particular, U is either:

escaping: 
$$\forall (n_k), \qquad f^{n_k}|_U \xrightarrow[k \to +\infty]{k \to +\infty} \infty$$
  
oscillating:  $\exists (n_k, m_k), \qquad f^{n_k}|_U \xrightarrow[k \to +\infty]{k \to +\infty} \infty$  and  $f^{m_k}|_U \xrightarrow[k \to +\infty]{k \to +\infty} a \in \mathcal{J}(f)$   
bounded:  $\forall (n_k), \qquad f^{n_k}|_U \xrightarrow[k \to +\infty]{k \to +\infty} \infty$ 

## Baker, 1976

If 
$$f^{n_k}|_U \xrightarrow[k \to +\infty]{} a$$
 then  $a \in \overline{E} \cup \{\infty\}$  where  $E = \bigcup_{s \in \mathcal{S}(f)} \bigcup_{n \ge 1} f^n(s)$ .

#### Bergweiler et al., 1993

If 
$$f^{n_k}|_U \xrightarrow[k \to +\infty]{} a$$
 then  $a \in E' \cup \{\infty\}$ .

 $z \mapsto \exp(z)$ ,  $z \mapsto \frac{\sin(z)}{z}$ , and  $z \mapsto \frac{\pi^2}{\pi^2 - z^2} \sin(z)$  have no wandering domains.

### Eremenko-Lyubich, 1987

 $\exists$  an entire function f which has (Fatou domains with infinitely many finite constant limit functions and hence) oscillating wandering domains.

Main tool: approximation theory

### Eremenko-Lyubich, 1987

 $\exists$  an entire function f which has (Fatou domains with infinitely many finite constant limit functions and hence) oscillating wandering domains.

Main tool: approximation theory

Singh, 2003

 $\exists$  two entire functions f,g and a domain  $U \subset \mathbb{C}$  which lies in

 $\begin{cases} a periodic domain for f, g, and g \circ f \\ a wandering domain for f \circ g \end{cases}$ 

However  $g \circ f$  must have wandering domains (Bergweiler-Wang, 1998).

Main tool: approximation theory

### Eremenko-Lyubich, 1987

 $\exists$  an entire function f which has (Fatou domains with infinitely many finite constant limit functions and hence) oscillating wandering domains.

Main tool: approximation theory

Singh, 2003

 $\exists$  two entire functions f, g and a domain  $U \subset \mathbb{C}$  which lies in

 $\begin{cases} a periodic domain for f, g, and g \circ f \\ a wandering domain for f \circ g \end{cases}$ 

However  $g \circ f$  must have wandering domains (Bergweiler-Wang, 1998).

## Main tool: approximation theory

Question: Do there exist f, g which both have no wandering domains but whose composition  $f \circ g$  has some ?

# Eremenko-Lyubich's class

$$\mathcal{B} = \Big\{ f : \mathbb{C} 
ightarrow \mathbb{C} ext{ entire function such that } \mathcal{S}(f) ext{ is bounded} \Big\}$$

### Eremenko-Lyubich's class

$$\mathcal{B} = \Big\{ f : \mathbb{C} 
ightarrow \mathbb{C} ext{ entire function such that } \mathcal{S}(f) ext{ is bounded} \Big\}$$

## Eremenko-Lyubich, 1992

If  $f \in \mathcal{B}$  then any wandering domain is either oscillating or bounded.

Main result:  $\mathcal{I}(f) \subset \mathcal{J}(f)$  (and hence  $\mathcal{J}(f) = \overline{\mathcal{I}(f)}$ )

## Eremenko-Lyubich's class

$$\mathcal{B} = \Big\{ f : \mathbb{C} 
ightarrow \mathbb{C} ext{ entire function such that } \mathcal{S}(f) ext{ is bounded} \Big\}$$

## Eremenko-Lyubich, 1992

If  $f \in \mathcal{B}$  then any wandering domain is either oscillating or bounded.

$$\mathsf{Main} \,\, \mathsf{result} \colon \, \mathcal{I}(f) \subset \mathcal{J}(f) \,\, (\mathsf{and} \,\, \mathsf{hence} \,\, \mathcal{J}(f) = \overline{\mathcal{I}(f)})$$

## Mihaljević-Rempe, 2012

If  $f \in \mathcal{B}$  satisfies  $\sup_{s \in \mathcal{S}(f)} |f^n(s)| \xrightarrow[n \to +\infty]{} +\infty$  and a certain condition (\*) then f has no wandering domains.

Main tool: hyperbolic geometry

$$z \mapsto \lambda \frac{\sinh(z)}{z} + a$$
 for every  $\lambda, a \in \mathbb{R}$  has no wandering domains.

## Bishop, 2012

 $\exists$  an entire function  $f \in \mathcal{B}$  which has (oscillating) wandering domains (with infinitely many finite constant limit functions).

Main tool: Bishop's construction by quasiconformal foldings

# Wandering under Bishop's trees

Examples of wandering domains in Eremenko-Lyubich's class

Sébastien Godillon

## Bishop, 2012

 $\exists$  an entire function  $f \in \mathcal{B}$  which has (oscillating) wandering domains (with infinitely many finite constant limit functions).

Main tool: Bishop's construction by quasiconformal foldings

$$\begin{split} f &= F \circ \phi \quad \text{with} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} F : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} \text{ quasiregular (transcendental)} \\ \phi : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} \text{ quasiconformal so that } \mu_{\phi^{-1}} = F^*(\mu_0) \end{array} \right. \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} f &= F \circ \phi \quad \text{with} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} F: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} \text{ quasiregular (transcendental)} \\ \phi: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} \text{ quasiconformal so that } \mu_{\phi^{-1}} &= F^*(\mu_0) \end{array} \right. \end{split}$$

Moreover,

- $\forall z \in \mathbb{C}, F(-z) = F(z) \text{ and } F(\overline{z}) = F(z)$
- Crit(F) = {-1, +1}  $\cup$  { $w_n$ ,  $n \ge 1$ }  $\cup$  { $\frac{1}{2}$ }  $\subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$  with  $w_n \xrightarrow[n \to +\infty]{} \frac{1}{2}$

•  $\operatorname{Asym}(F) = \emptyset$ 

 $f = F \circ \phi \quad \text{with} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} F : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} \text{ quasiregular (transcendental)} \\ \phi : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} \text{ quasiconformal so that } \mu_{\phi^{-1}} = F^*(\mu_0) \end{array} \right.$ 

Moreover,

• 
$$\forall z \in \mathbb{C}, F(-z) = F(z) \text{ and } F(\overline{z}) = \overline{F(z)}$$

• Crit(F) = {-1, +1}  $\cup$  { $w_n$ ,  $n \ge 1$ }  $\cup$  { $\frac{1}{2}$ }  $\subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$  with  $w_n \xrightarrow[n \to +\infty]{} \frac{1}{2}$ 

• 
$$\operatorname{Asym}(F) = \emptyset$$

 $\implies$  the same holds for f as well.

 $f = F \circ \phi \quad \text{with} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} F : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} \text{ quasiregular (transcendental)} \\ \phi : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} \text{ quasiconformal so that } \mu_{\phi^{-1}} = F^*(\mu_0) \end{array} \right.$ 

Moreover,

• 
$$\forall z \in \mathbb{C}, \ F(-z) = F(z) \text{ and } F(\overline{z}) = \overline{F(z)}$$

• Crit(F) = {-1, +1}  $\cup$  { $w_n$ ,  $n \ge 1$ }  $\cup$  { $\frac{1}{2}$ }  $\subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$  with  $w_n \xrightarrow[n \to +\infty]{} \frac{1}{2}$ 

• 
$$\operatorname{Asym}(F) = \emptyset$$

 $\implies$  the same holds for f as well.

•  $\operatorname{supp}(F^*(\mu_0))$  is small enough in order that we may find  $\phi \approx \operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{C}}$ 

F is constructed following an infinite graph.



F is constructed following an infinite graph.



F is constructed following an infinite graph.









for some parameter  $\lambda > 0$ .



for some parameter  $\lambda > 0$ .

For every  $n \ge 1$ ,

$$F: (D_n, z_n) \xrightarrow{z \mapsto (z-z_n)^{d_n}} (\mathbb{D}, 0) \xrightarrow{\rho_n} (\mathbb{D}, w_n)$$
$$z \xrightarrow{\rho_n} \rho_n ((z-z_n)^{d_n})$$

with 
$$\left\{ egin{array}{l} 
ho_n:\mathbb{D} o\mathbb{D} \ {f q} {f u} {f a} {f s} {f s} {f o} {f n} {f (0)} = w_n \end{array} 
ight.$$



For every  $n \ge 1$ ,

$$\begin{array}{cccc} F: & (D_n, z_n) & \xrightarrow{z \mapsto (z-z_n)^{d_n}} & (\mathbb{D}, 0) & \xrightarrow{\rho_n} & (\mathbb{D}, w_n) \\ & z & \longmapsto & \rho_n \left( (z-z_n)^{d_n} \right) \end{array}$$

with 
$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \rho_n : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D} \text{ quasiconformal} \\ \rho_n(0) = w_n \\ \operatorname{supp}(\mu_{\rho_n}) \subset \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \leqslant |z| \leqslant 1 \right\} \end{array} \right.$$



Using Bishop's construction by quasiconformal foldings,

*F* may be extended to a quasiregular map  $F : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$  such that:

- $\forall z \in \mathbb{C}, \ F(-z) = F(z) \text{ and } F(\overline{z}) = \overline{F(z)}$
- Crit(F) = {-1, +1}  $\cup$  { $w_n$ ,  $n \ge 1$ }  $\cup$  { $\frac{1}{2}$ }  $\subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$  with  $w_n \xrightarrow[n \to +\infty]{} \frac{1}{2}$
- $\operatorname{Asym}(F) = \emptyset$



Using Bishop's construction by quasiconformal foldings,

*F* may be extended to a quasiregular map  $F : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$  such that:

- $\forall z \in \mathbb{C}, \ F(-z) = F(z) \text{ and } F(\overline{z}) = \overline{F(z)}$
- Crit(F) = {-1, +1}  $\cup$  { $w_n$ ,  $n \ge 1$ }  $\cup$  { $\frac{1}{2}$ }  $\subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$  with  $w_n \xrightarrow[n \to +\infty]{} \frac{1}{2}$
- $\operatorname{Asym}(F) = \emptyset$
- $\operatorname{supp}(F^*(\mu_0))$  is small enough



Using Bishop's construction by quasiconformal foldings,

*F* may be extended to a quasiregular map  $F : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$  such that:

- $\forall z \in \mathbb{C}, \ F(-z) = F(z) \text{ and } F(\overline{z}) = \overline{F(z)}$
- Crit(F) = {-1,+1}  $\cup$  { $w_n$ ,  $n \ge 1$ }  $\cup$  { $\frac{1}{2}$ }  $\subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$  with  $w_n \xrightarrow[n \to +\infty]{} \frac{1}{2}$
- $\operatorname{Asym}(F) = \emptyset$
- $\mathrm{supp}\left(\mathsf{F}^*(\mu_0)\right)$  is small enough in order that  $\phi|_{\mathcal{S}^+} \approx \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{S}^+}$



Let  $f = F \circ \phi$  with  $\phi : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$  quasiconformal so that  $\mu_{\phi^{-1} = F^*(\mu_0)}$ .

Choice of the parameters  $(\lambda, (d_n)_{n \ge 1}, (w_n)_{n \ge 1})$ 

• 
$$\lfloor \lambda > 0 \rfloor$$
 is fixed so that  $f^n\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \xrightarrow[n \to +\infty]{} +\infty$  very fast.  
 $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad f(x) = \cosh\left(\lambda \sinh\left(\phi|_{\mathbb{R}}(x)\right)\right) \approx \frac{1}{2}\exp\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\exp(x)\right)$










 $f^{n}(U_{n}) = \frac{1}{4}\widetilde{D}_{n} \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{inradius}(U_{n}) \geq C.\left(\frac{df^{n}}{dx}\Big|_{x=\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{-1}$  $f^{n+1}(U_{n+1}) = \frac{1}{4}\widetilde{D}_{n+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{inradius}(U_{n+1}) \geq C.\left(\frac{df^{n+1}}{dx}\Big|_{x=\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{-1}$ 



$$\begin{split} f^{n+1}(U_{n+1}) &= \frac{1}{4}\widetilde{D}_{n+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{inradius}(U_{n+1}) \geqslant C \cdot \left(\frac{df^{n+1}}{dx}\big|_{x=\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{-1} \\ \widetilde{w}_n \in f\left(\frac{1}{4}\widetilde{D}_n\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{diam}\left(f\left(\frac{1}{4}\widetilde{D}_n\right)\right) \leqslant C' \cdot \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{\widetilde{d}_n} \end{split}$$

-1

Choice of the parameters  $(\lambda, (d_n)_{n \ge 1}, (w_n)_{n \ge 1})$ 

• 
$$\lambda > 0$$
 is fixed so that  $f^n\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \xrightarrow[n \to +\infty]{} +\infty$  very fast.  
•  $\widetilde{d}_n \xrightarrow[n \to +\infty]{} +\infty$  and  $\widetilde{w}_n \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} \frac{1}{2}$  are fixed so that  
 $\forall n \ge N, \quad f^{n+1}(U_n) = f\left(\frac{1}{4}\widetilde{D}_n\right) \subset U_{n+1}.$ 

Choice of the parameters  $(\lambda, (d_n)_{n \ge 1}, (w_n)_{n \ge 1})$ 

• 
$$\lambda > 0$$
 is fixed so that  $f^n\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \xrightarrow[n \to +\infty]{} +\infty$  very fast.  
•  $\widetilde{d}_n \xrightarrow[n \to +\infty]{} +\infty$  and  $\widetilde{w}_n \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} \frac{1}{2}$  are fixed so that  
 $\forall n \ge N, \quad f^{n+1}(U_n) = f\left(\frac{1}{4}\widetilde{D}_n\right) \subset U_{n+1}.$ 

Therefore,

$$U_N \xrightarrow{f^{N+1}} U_{N+1} \xrightarrow{f^{N+2}} U_{N+2} \xrightarrow{f^{N+3}} U_{N+3} \xrightarrow{f^{N+4}} \dots$$



Bishop's example has no unexpected wandering domains.

Bishop's example has no unexpected wandering domains.

Main ingredients of the proof:

Let W be a wandering domain of f (in the upper half plane).

Baker's argument

Ø Mihaljević-Rempe's hyperbolic geometry lemma

Bishop's example has no unexpected wandering domains.

#### Main ingredients of the proof:

Let W be a wandering domain of f (in the upper half plane).

• Baker's argument (here  $E' = \{f^n(\frac{1}{2})\}_{n \ge 1}$ )  $\exists (n_k)$  such that  $\begin{cases} f^{n_k}|_W \xrightarrow[k \to +\infty]{k \to +\infty} \frac{1}{2} \\ f^{n_k-1}(W) \subset D_{m_k} \text{ for some } m_k \end{cases}$ 

Ø Mihaljević-Rempe's hyperbolic geometry lemma

Bishop's example has no unexpected wandering domains.

#### Main ingredients of the proof:

Let W be a wandering domain of f (in the upper half plane).

**3** Baker's argument (here  $E' = \{f^n(\frac{1}{2})\}_{n \ge 1}$ )  $\exists (n_k)$  such that  $\begin{cases} f^{n_k}|_W \xrightarrow[k \to +\infty]{k \to +\infty} \frac{1}{2} \\ f^{n_k-1}(W) \subset D_{m_k} \text{ for some } m_k \end{cases}$ 

 Mihaljević-Rempe's hyperbolic geometry lemma dist<sub>U</sub> (f<sup>n<sub>k</sub>-1</sup>(W), U\f<sup>-1</sup>(D)) → +∞ where U = C\ ([1/2, +∞[ ∪ ∪<sub>n≥N</sub> ∪<sub>j=1</sub><sup>n</sup> f<sup>j</sup>(U<sub>n</sub>))

Bishop's example has no unexpected wandering domains.

#### Main ingredients of the proof:

Let W be a wandering domain of f (in the upper half plane).

• Baker's argument (here  $E' = \{f^n(\frac{1}{2})\}_{n \ge 1}$ )  $\exists (n_k)$  such that  $\begin{cases} f^{n_k}|_W \xrightarrow[k \to +\infty]{k \to +\infty} \frac{1}{2} \\ f^{n_k-1}(W) \subset D_{m_k} \text{ for some } m_k \end{cases}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \textcircled{O} \quad \text{Mihaljević-Rempe's hyperbolic geometry lemma} \\ \operatorname{dist}_{U}\left(f^{n_{k}-1}(W), U \setminus f^{-1}(\mathbb{D})\right) \xrightarrow[k \to +\infty]{} +\infty \\ \text{where} \quad U = \mathbb{C} \setminus \left(\left[\frac{1}{2}, +\infty\left[\cup \bigcup_{n \geqslant N} \bigcup_{j=1}^{n} f^{j}(U_{n})\right]\right) \\ \implies \begin{cases} f^{n_{k}-1}(W) \subset \widetilde{D}_{p_{k}} \text{ for some } p_{k} \\ \operatorname{dist}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(f^{n_{k}-1}(W), \frac{1}{4}\widetilde{D}_{p_{k}}\right) \xrightarrow[k \to +\infty]{} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$ 

Bishop's example has no unexpected wandering domains.

#### Main ingredients of the proof:

Let W be a wandering domain of f (in the upper half plane).

• Baker's argument (here  $E' = \{f^n(\frac{1}{2})\}_{n \ge 1}$ )  $\exists (n_k)$  such that  $\begin{cases} f^{n_k}|_W \xrightarrow[k \to +\infty]{k \to +\infty} \frac{1}{2} \\ f^{n_k-1}(W) \subset D_{m_k} \text{ for some } m_k \end{cases}$ 

Therefore W is eventually mapped into some  $U_n$ .

Bishop's example has no unexpected wandering domains.

## Corollary

 $\exists$  two entire functions f, g (in  $\mathcal{B}$ ) which both have no wandering domains but whose composition  $f \circ g$  has some.

Bishop's example has no unexpected wandering domains.

#### Corollary

 $\exists$  two entire functions f, g (in  $\mathcal{B}$ ) which both have no wandering domains but whose composition  $f \circ g$  has some.

# Strategy of the proof: Construct f, g like Bishop's example. Chose the parameters $\widetilde{d_n} \xrightarrow[n \to +\infty]{} +\infty$ and $\widetilde{w_n} \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} \frac{1}{2}$ so that: $\forall k \ge N, \begin{cases} f^{4k+1}(U_{4k}) &\subset U_{4k+1} \\ f^{4k+2}(U_{4k+1}) &\subset U_{4k+2} \\ g^{4k+4}(U_{4k+3}) &\subset U_{4k+4} \end{cases}$ and $\begin{cases} g^{4k+3}(U_{4k+2}) &\subset U_{4k+3} \\ g^{4k+4}(U_{4k+3}) &\subset U_{4k+4} \end{cases}$

Bishop's example has no unexpected wandering domains.

## Corollary

 $\exists$  two entire functions f, g (in  $\mathcal{B}$ ) which both have no wandering domains but whose composition  $f \circ g$  has some.

# Strategy of the proof:

Construct f, g like Bishop's example.

Chose the parameters  $\widetilde{d}_n \xrightarrow[n \to +\infty]{} +\infty$  and  $\widetilde{w}_n \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} \frac{1}{2}$  so that:

$$\forall k \ge N, \begin{cases} f^{4k+1}(U_{4k}) \subset U_{4k+1} \\ f^{4k+2}(U_{4k+1}) \subset U_{4k+2} \\ f^{4k+3}(U_{4k+2}) \subset U_{4k+3} \\ f^{4k+4}(U_{4k+3}) \subset U_{4k+3} \end{cases} \text{ and } \begin{cases} g^{4k+1}(U_{4k}) \subset U_{4k+1} \\ g^{4k+2}(U_{4k+1}) \subset U_{4k+1} \\ g^{4k+3}(U_{4k+2}) \subset U_{4k+3} \\ g^{4k+4}(U_{4k+3}) \subset U_{4k+4} \end{cases}$$